Application No.: 10/770,612

Amendment dated September 2, 2008

Response to Office action dated June 17, 2008

## REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Applicant acknowledges, with thanks, the office action dated June 17, 2008, and completion of the personal interview of August 6, 2008. The Examiner's observations and suggestions are much appreciated and summarized herein. The Examiner's acceptance of the drawings filed on February 2, 2004, and consideration of the Information Disclosure Statement filed on February 2, 2004, is noted with appreciation. Claims 1-44 are currently pending.

Claims 1-8, 10-19, 21-30, 32-41, and 43-44 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0152215 to Clark et al. (hereinafter, "Clark"). Claims 9, 20, 31, and 42 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Clark as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0003240 to Lai et al. (hereinafter, "Lai"). In view of the amendments and arguments set forth below, it is submitted that all pending claims are patentably distinct over the art of record.

The subject application is directed to a system and method for printing electronic files implemented through a peripheral device. Data representative of book identification information is received via a document processing device user interface. An electronic file is retrieved from a remote data server, in response to the received book identification information, wherein the electronic file is representative of at least one selected book. Data corresponding to at least one page number via the document processing device user interface is received. A print job is created by preparing the electronic file for printing, print request data is received representative of a desired output of the print job, and a print operation is commenced of each page of the electronic file in accordance with the print request and page selection data on the document processing device.

As discussed during the Interview, Clark is directed to electronic distribution of book content. An entire book is delivered electronically, and selection is suitably made from listed titles. Conversely, the subject application teaches a system by which a user of a document processing device, such as a copier or printer, suitably selects specified pages from an remotely stored book to be incorporated into an output. For example, a user may wish to print or copy a document, and append to it several pages from a book which are pertinent to thereto. Traditionally, a user would need to obtain a physical copy of the book, photocopy the desired pages, and then append them to a printout or photocopy of the document itself. The system

Application No.: 10/770,612

Amendment dated September 2, 2008

Response to Office action dated June 17, 2008

allows a user to simply identify a book, as well as desired pages, and the desired pages are retrieved from a remote location and the selected pages are output from the document processing

device. Clark teaches away from this concept insofar as it is targeted to a market for entire

books, not specified pages.

Amendment to each of independent claims 1, 12, 23 and 34 has been made to render

more clear the patentable distinctions over the art of record. As amended, a book selection is

made, along with requested pages, via a document processing device interface, such as a copier

control touchscreen. These pages are retrieved, and form a printout at the device. This is unique

relative to the teachings of Clark.

The deficiencies in the teachings of Clark relative to the amended claims are not

remedied by any additional teachings of Lai, which is cited as teaching a wireless book

download.

In accordance with the afore-noted amendments and comments, it is submitted that all

claims are patentably distinct over the art, and in condition for allowance thereover. An early

allowance of all claims is respectfully requested.

If there are any fees necessitated by the foregoing communication, the Commissioner is

hereby authorized to charge such fees to our Deposit Account No. 50-0902, referencing our

Docket No. 66329/00024.

Date:  $\frac{9/2/68}{}$ 

espectfully submitted,

\$usan L. Mizer

Registration No. 38,245

TUCKER ELLIS & WEST LLP

1150 Huntington Bldg.

925 Euclid Ave.

Cleveland, Ohio 44115-1414

Customer No.: 23380

Tel.: (216) 696-3340

Fax: (216) 592-5009